



A QUALITY CHECKLIST FOR CONDUCTING BETTER SURVEY ONLINE RESEARCH

A practical guide for Academic Researchers, powered by Bilendi

INTRODUCTION

WHY RIGOROUS ONLINE RESEARCH MATTERS

Online research has transformed how knowledge is produced and shared. It allows academics and researchers to collect large, diverse datasets in days rather than months, reach niche or global audiences, and run complex experimental designs that were once impossible.

But with that speed and scale comes new challenges: data quality, participant authenticity, cross-cultural sensitivity to name a few.

In today's research landscape, the difference between reliable insights and misleading results often comes down to process. Poorly designed screeners, unmanaged quotas, or unchecked responses can undermine an entire study, and waste precious time and resources.

That's why conducting online research requires more than just technical know-how; it demands a structured, quality-first mindset from the very beginning. From defining clear objectives to choosing the right panel provider, every stage influences the validity and credibility of your findings.

This checklist draws on Bilendi's extensive global experience in supporting academic research. It's designed for researchers in both business and academia, with particular focus on the latter; where quality isn't just important, but fundamental.

This document serves as a practical guide to designing, testing, and managing online surveys that deliver high-quality, reliable results. Use it as your go-to toolkit for planning, conducting, and evaluating online research with confidence and rigor.

HOW TO USE THIS CHECKLIST

This checklist is designed as a **step-by-step companion** for planning, executing, and evaluating your online research projects.

Each section highlights key actions, practical recommendations, and common pitfalls to avoid, distilled from years of collaboration with researchers both in the academic and the commercial realm. You can use it as both a planning tool before launching a study and a quality control guide during data collection.

Here's what's covered in this checklist:

- 1. Planning and Design:** Clarify your research goals, define hypotheses, and ensure your survey is methodologically sound from the start.
- 2. Testing and Piloting:** Learn how to detect logical errors, test user experience, and refine your approach before full deployment.
- 3. Data Collection and Quality Control:** Explore techniques to manage sampling, monitor response behavior, and identify low-quality or fraudulent data.
- 4. Partner Selection:** Understand what to look for in a trusted panel provider and how to build sustainable research relationships.

By following this checklist you'll not only increase the reliability of your findings but also save time, reduce costs, and build a strong foundation for publication-ready research. At its core, this document is more than a checklist; it's a research quality roadmap, created to empower academics to navigate the complexities of online data collection with confidence and clarity.

START WITH CLARITY

BEFORE YOU BUILD ANYTHING, DEFINE EVERYTHING.



Write down your research goals and hypotheses.

Identify measurable outcomes before survey design.



Align every survey question to those goals.

When it comes to engaging respondents, less is more.



Sketch your survey flow (logic, branches, conditions)

On paper or using a flowchart tool.



Pre-register your study design.

(methods, hypotheses, analysis plan) in a public repository to ensure transparency. For example you can use [Open Science Framework](#) for these purposes.

DESIGN YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE FOR DATA QUALITY

QUALITY ISN'T AN AFTERTHOUGHT:
IT'S BUILT IN.



Define your target audience with **precision**.



Keep questions **short, clear, and jargon-free**.



Phrase questions **unambiguously**, and pay extra attention to your questionnaire screeners to ensure valid research.



Build blind screeners to minimize bias and prevent respondents from identifying the survey's true focus (e.g. instead of directly asking "Do you own an electric vehicle?", ask "Which of the following types of vehicles do you personally own or lease?" and include several non-electric options).



Ensure balanced demographics using **smart quotas** (by region, age, gender, etc.) that are both representative and feasible.



Write conversationally and neutral; avoid culturally specific or technical terms.



Have peers or colleagues review your survey for logic and clarity.



If you are conducting research globally, collaborate with **local researchers** for cultural validation: what works in London may fail in São Paulo.



Keep the **total length reasonable** (under 20 minutes if possible), respect the respondent's time and attention.



For longer surveys, **use attention checks wisely** (eg. "please select the color blue"). Avoid trick questions and pilot test your checks to confirm they're culturally appropriate.

PRIORITIZE THE RESPONDENT EXPERIENCE

ENGAGED PARTICIPANTS = BETTER DATA.

- ✓ Script your questionnaire using **professional** tools or services.
- ✓ Ensure your survey is **mobile-friendly**, over 50% of surveys are completed on phones, avoid long answer lists or oversized grids and minimize scrolling.
- ✓ Use **visual breaks and progress bars** to maintain engagement.
- ✓ Confirm your quotas and filters are programmed **to close automatically** once filled.
- ✓ **Randomize response alternatives** to minimize order bias
- ✓ **Take the survey yourself** on desktop and mobile
- ✓ **Pilot test** small samples before launch (10–20 participants) to catch bugs and confusing items, and logic errors.
- ✓ Use **iterative testing**: fix, retest, refine.

CHOOSE A RELIABLE PANEL PROVIDER

PROTECT YOUR PROJECT BY PARTNERING WITH EXPERTS YOU CAN TRUST.

Work with a reliable panel provider to protect data quality and ensure trustworthy results. Build lasting partnerships for consistency across studies, and debrief after each project to assess completion rates and data quality. Treat your provider as a true research partner, not just a vendor.

What to ask:

QUESTION	ANSWER	SCORE
Are you ISO 20252 certified or independently audited?	Yes	10 points
Do you use double opt-in registration for participants?	Yes	10 points
Do you monitor behavioral metadata as completion times, straightlining patterns, use of VPNs, etc?	Yes, all of them	10 points
Can you provide detailed documentation on quality checks and fraud prevention policies?	Yes	10 points
Do you use river sampling ?	No	10 points* (See "Quality Considerations for River Sampling")

If the panel provider gets less than 50 points proceed with caution.



Green flag

The panel provider shows transparency and proactive quality control data security.



Red flag

The panel provider gives vague, incomplete, or evasive answers.

QUALITY CONSIDERATIONS FOR RIVER SAMPLING

RIVER SAMPLING: AN ALTERNATIVE FOR “HARD-TO-REACH” AUDIENCES

River sampling recruits survey respondents in real time from streams of online traffic such as ads, social media posts, or website intercepts. Participants are typically anonymous and self-selected.

While traditional panel providers use structured recruitment, validation, and monitoring processes to produce more reliable and academically defensible results, river sampling can still be valuable in specific contexts. Despite its inherent risks, it’s often useful when the target audience is difficult to reach through panels.

Because river respondents are not pre-engaged or pre-screened for research, they tend to generate lower-quality data (e.g., satisficing, straight-lining, or inconsistent responses).

Key Quality Measures for River Sampling:



Verify respondent identity and profile. River samples often include participants whose identities, demographic information, or eligibility cannot be confirmed. This raises the risk of fraudulent, duplicate, or non-target responses, ultimately reducing data validity and reliability.



Apply stricter quality assurance controls. Use real-time fraud detection, like digital fingerprinting and response-time validation, to identify low-quality responses (See “Stay Ahead of Fraud”)



Account for higher self-selection bias. Because participation is voluntary and opportunistic, individuals with stronger opinions, higher online activity, or greater interest in incentives are more likely to respond. This introduces systematic bias and reduces representativeness compared to structured panels.

STAY AHEAD OF FRAUD

FRAUD PREVENTION IS PROACTIVE: BUILD DETENTION, VERIFICATION, AND ETHICS INTO EVERY STEP

-  **Demand transparency for river sampling.** If recruitment uses social or site intercepts, require a full account of incentives, placement, screening logic, and on-the-fly quality checks.
-  **Request and retain key metadata.** Ask for timestamps, time-on-questions, anonymized IP/device/browser fingerprints, and survey-route logs so you can spot anomalies, but require that sensitive identifiers be anonymized before any data sharing.
-  **Track time-on-question and reaction times.** Flag respondents who complete surveys unrealistically fast and compare against completion-time benchmarks to detect “speedsters”
-  **Use control and validation questions.** Add bot traps, consistency checks, and attention items (piloted for cultural fit) to catch automated or careless responses like “straightliners” (same answer repeatedly)
-  **Detect VPNs, IP duplication, and bots.** Require providers to report VPN/proxy use, repeated IPs, and identical response-pattern signatures; combine digital fingerprinting with behavioral analytics.
-  **Ask providers how they detect AI-driven responses.** Verify their approach to spotting synthetic or LLM-generated answers and to flag abnormal incentive collection.
-  **Monitor live via dashboards.** Watch quota progress, completion rates, and suspicious activity in real time so you can close segments or reallocate quotas immediately.



Partner with providers who combine human + AI QA. Prefer vendors that layer machine detection with human verification and that provide audit trails for suspicious cases.



Enforce ethics and data compliance. Obtain informed consent. Follow GDPR and local privacy regulations, anonymize PII before sharing, and document your compliance steps.



Balance automation with human review. Use automated fraud flags but validate edge cases with human reviewers to avoid throwing out legitimate but atypical responses.



Focus on filtering fraud, not genuine variation. Prioritize clear indicators of bad data while preserving legitimate diversity; don't exclude "good participants having a bad day."



FINAL TAKEAWAYS

WANT EXPERT HELP RUNNING YOUR NEXT STUDY?

Bilendi supports academics and researchers worldwide with high-quality panels, ethical standards, and hands-on guidance from design to delivery.

Our recommendations:

- Start with quality in mind; design to your hypotheses.
- Test early, test often; catch issues before full launch.
- Partner wisely; choose transparent, certified providers.
- Respect your respondents; make it easy and engaging.
- Think globally, ethically, and critically.

At Bilendi, we're more than a data provider; we're your academic research partner. Our global panels help you collect quality data you can trust.

Know more about us at:

<https://www.bilendi.us/academics>

<https://www.netquest.com/en/solution-academics>



Bilendi France

4 rue Ventadour

75001 Paris

Tél.: +33 1 44 88 60 30 30

contact.fr@bilendi.com